

From: kenzie churchward

Sent: Saturday, May 29, 2021 7:15 PM

To: cityclerks <cityclerks@barrie.ca>

Subject: Emergency Deputation

Hi there!

If it's not too late, I would like to give an emergency deputation on Monday regarding the SCS motion. I live in the _____ of the chosen site, and am very much in favour of it and would like to let council know there are people in this neighbourhood who are in support.

Thanks!

Kenzie

-----Original Message-----

From: Caitlin Mckenzie

Sent: Saturday, May 29, 2021 7:27 PM

Cc: cityclerks <cityclerks@barrie.ca>

Subject: Emergency Deputation Request - SCS Cite

Hello,

My name is Caitlin McKenzie and I'm a resident of Barrie's end. I'd like to make an emergency deputation regarding the proposed SCS cite in Barrie at Monday's council meeting.

Thank you.

Best,

Caitlin

Original Message-----

From: Pauline Bradshaw

Sent: Sunday, May 30, 2021 6:16 PM

To: cityclerks <cityclerks@barrie.ca>

Subject: Emergency Deputation Request May31 mtg re:Proposed SCS 11 Innisfil Rd

Hello,

Can you please register me and send me a link for the meeting regarding the 11 Innisfil Road Safe Injection Site on May 31?

Thank you

Pauline Bradshaw

DEPUTATION REQUEST

Request for deputation, any written submissions and background information for consideration by City Council must be submitted to the Legislative and Court Services Department by 9:00 a.m. on the WEDNESDAY PRIOR TO THE REQUESTED MEETING.

PLEASE PRINT

COUNCIL MEETING DATE: May 31, 2021		
GENERAL COMMITTEE MOTION NUMBER: 21-G-137		
SUBJECT: Proposed Safe Consumption Site Location		
NAME OF PERSON TO APPEAR: Shelby Jones		
EMAIL ADDRESS:		
STREET ADDRESS:		
City: Barrie, ON	Postal Code:	
PHONE:	HOME:	BUSINESS:
NAME OF PERSON REQUESTING APPEARANCE (if different from person appearing):		
PHONE:	HOME:	BUSINESS:
NAME OF GROUP OR PERSON(S) BEING REPRESENTED (if applicable):		
BRIEF STATEMENT OF PURPOSE OF DEPUTATION:		
In opposition to the location of the Safe Consumption Site.		
LETTER SUBMITTED WITH REQUEST:		
YES <input type="radio"/> NO <input checked="" type="radio"/>		

Personal information on this form is collected under the legal authority of the Municipal Act, S.O. 2001, c.25 as amended. The information is collected and maintained for the purpose of creating a record that is available to the general public pursuant to Section 27 of the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. Questions about this collection should be directed to the City Clerk, City of Barrie, 70 Collier Street, P.O. Box 400, Barrie, L4M 4T5, Telephone 705 739-4204.

City of Barrie, Legislative and Court Services Department
70 Collier Street, P.O. Box 400, Barrie, L4M 4T5
Tel: 705 739-4204 Fax: 739-4243
www.barrie.ca

----- Original Message -----

From:

To: Cityclerk@barrie.ca

Sent: Monday, May 31, 2021 9:16 AM

Subject: EMERGENCY DEPUTATION REQUEST May 31. Mtg Re: Proposed a SCS at 11 Innisfil Rd

Having failed to be properly notified about this matter by The City of Barrie, The CMHA and the SMDHU, I would like to speak to be heard.

Thank you.

Chris Ridding

----- Original Message -----

From: trackmom trackmom <[tr](#)>

To: cityclerk <cityclerk@barrie.ca>

Date: May 30, 2021 at 5:45 PM

Subject: EMERGENCY DEPUTATION REQUEST May 31 mtg re proposed a SCS 11 Innisfil Rd

I would like to speak out against the installation of the Safe Consumption Site (SCS) just from my property.

Thanks very much.

Amanda Ridding

It is clear that the Downtown Barrie Business Association(BIA), who paid \$30,000 to a private consultant, wants the addicts out of the core because it affects business in downtown Barrie. I get it, I own property there too. While I understand and empathize with their plight, pushing them into residential communities, along with the drug dealers that will follow them, is not the answer.

I believe in giving a Hand Up, NOT a hand out. If you want to help these people, then help those who want and are willing to invest the commitment to getting better. Give these people a Hand Up. Provide them with counseling, housing whatever it takes. If they are willing to put in the effort, then invest my tax money into them. But invest it by helping them, not by enabling them. Enabling them helps no one. Think about it...do we give an alcoholic a drink? Every recovering alcoholic I know says Absolutely Not! Give them the resources to recover not the resources to get high. It's common sense.

The City of Barrie owns plenty of locations throughout the city, including many empty units at the Barrie Bus Terminal. There is absolutely no reason for the CoB or province to spend yet more tax payer money on rent to provide a SCS. For goodness sake, use the property you already own. Not only would the Barrie Bus Terminal be more cost effective, as the Landlord it will give the City more control over the SCS. Most importantly it is where the target client's are located which apparently is a major criteria for Dr. Simon and the SMDHU

I find it really disturbing that Councilor Morales is the Chairman of the BIA. As I understand it you Must be a business owner in the downtown core to hold that position. Is Mr. Morales spearheading the campaign to push drug dependent people from the core, as recommended by the BIA's private consultant for his interest in his own business, his interest in the position he holds as Chairman or is it for his interests in both? Let's be clear, any participation in this vote by Mr. Morales would be a conflict of interest.

I would also like to take a moment to reflect on at least one of the livelihoods of a taxpaying citizen you would literally Destroy. The status as a Wee Watch private home child care provider would be revoked by Wee Watch if this Site was installed directly across the street from this daycare. Wee Watch will not permit any location directly across the street from a SCS. This family would lose their livelihood. Destroying their income would in FACT make it impossible to support themselves and pay their taxes. They would have no choice but to sell, and likely at a reduced value, and the current state of the Real Estate Market, they may never be able to find another home that they could afford to purchase, thus reducing their net profit, and quality of life.

On May 25th, this council arbitrarily dismissed the legitimate concern about placing a SCS directly across of the Daycare that I just mentioned. When asked directly, Dr Simon was unable to provide information on the impact that the other 16 SCSs already created in Ontario have had on their areas. This is another substantial failure of Due Diligence.

In fact, did Dr Simon and the SMDHU even bother to conduct an impact study on how the introduction of this SCS to this neighbourhood will affect either Property Values or Businesses and whether they will be able to keep their clientele or have their retirement savings destroyed? Good bye Equity when applying for a CHIP mortgage

NOT ONE of the people who wrote a letter in support of this Site either have a home or a business in this neighbourhood. NOT one of them have an interest in this neighbourhood and therefore have absolutely nothing to lose. Their opinions SHOULD NOT have any bearing at all in this decision, but those whose lives you are going to damage and/or destroy should be heard! We with a direct interest in this neighbourhood are the only Opinions that matter. To be clear Councilor Aylwin, I know of no one, myself included, who support this safe injection site. Last week you misquoted your constituent and we are NOT impressed!

What type of reputation does the City of Barrie want to promote to the Developers and Businesses they are trying attract and encourage to come to this City. Developers and Businesses that will bring both housing and jobs. My understanding is that HIP Developments has made it clear they do not want ANY SCS near their development. Are the Councilor's and the City of Barrie really going to Act in Bad Faith and place a SCS exactly where HIP Developments doesn't want it? Do you really want to damage this relationship with such an important Developer and in turn damage Our Cities reputation in how we do business?

It would be both unethical and immoral if you do not vote against this location. Honestly, if you do not vote against this location, you will be responsible for affecting and/or destroying the lives of productive, contributing members of society, your very tax paying constituents. The result possibly could make them the CMHAs next clients. Dr. Simon and Dr. Gardner should know better. They swore an oath to do no harm. If your excuse to doing the wrong thing is We had to do something, then you should never have been in a position of authority.